

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/810,938	03/16/2001		Gudmundur Hjartarson	10.1013	7247
21919	7590	11/29/2006		EXAMINER	
MEREK, E		ON & VOORHEE	LEE, ANDREW CHUNG CHEUNG		
	ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2616	

DATE MAILED: 11/29/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/810,938	HJARTARSON ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Andrew C. Lee	2616					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. lety filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 S</u>	eptember 2006.						
· _ ·	<u> </u>						
<i>;</i>	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is						
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18 and 20</u> is/are rejected.	<u> </u>						
7) Claim(s) <u>19,21</u> is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	ur						
,		Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	- · ·						
.11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•						
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive	on No					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	u.					
Attachment(s)		·					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informat P						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	aten Application					

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9,10,11,13, 14, 15, 16, 17,18, 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over O'Toole et al. (US 5889856) in view of Banerjea et al. (Pub. No. 2002/0075949 A1).

Regarding Claim 1, O'Toole et al. disclose the limitation of a line interface for coupling a twisted pair telephone line with a communications network (Fig. 6, element 58 Integrated line card as line interface and element 20 telephone line as twisted pair telephone line, column 7, lines 2 – 5), comprising: a broadband analog front end circuit (element 44 A/D converter as analog front end circuit) coupling said twisted pair telephone line with said line interface; and a programmable filter (Fig. 6, element 50, digital signal processor) coupled to receive an output signal from said broadband analog front end circuit (Fig. 6, elements 50, Digital Signal processor as programmable filter, DSP can be programmable to perform digital filtering) and O'Toole et al. disclose implicitly configured to filter frequency bands of said output signal into a plurality of separate, variable bandwidth transmission channels (recited "DSP performs a high-pass filter, the output of the high-pass digital filtering...; DSP also performs a low-pass filter, the output of low-pass filtering" as output signal into a plurality of separate, variable

Art Unit: 2616

bandwidth transmission channel) wherein said plurality of separate variable bandwidth transmission channels are associated with said communications network (Fig. 6, elements 30, high-speed ADSL data pathway and element 34 PCM highway as associated with said communications network, column 8, lines 8 – 11; 18 – 21), and wherein said frequency bands (Fig. 7, element 62, >4KHz, and element 66 100Hz -4KHz frequencies as frequency bands) and said variable bandwidths are determined by programming said programmable filter (column 7, lines 62 – 65; recited DSP can be programmed to perform digital filtering; column 8, lines 49 – 50, high-pass filter; column 9, lines 1 – 2, band-pass filter). O'Toole et al. do not disclose explicitly the limitation of configured to filter frequency bands of said output signal into a plurality of separate, variable bandwidth transmission channels. Banerjea et al. disclose explicitly the limitation of configured to filter frequency bands of said output signal into a plurality of separate, variable bandwidth transmission channels (recited "variable bandwidth digital filter comprising a plurality of switched analog components to provide a corresponding plurality of filter bandwidth; page 5, column 1, lines 20 – 23). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify O'Toole et al. to include configuring to filter frequency bands of said output signal into a plurality of separate, variable bandwidth transmission channels such as that taught by Banerjea et al. in order to provide a bandwidth of the variable bandwidth receivers filter is adjusted based on a level and/or a frequency of AM radio signals detected by the am radio signal level detector (as suggested by Banerjea et al., see page 2, column 2, paragraph [0026]).

Art Unit: 2616

Regarding Claim 2, O'Toole et al. disclose the limitation of communications network comprises a data network (Fig. 6, element 30, high–speed ADSL data pathway; column 8, lines 8 – 11, 54 – 55, recited for transmission to the Internet as data network) and a voice network (Fig. 6, element 34 PCM highway, column 8, lines 18 – 21, column 9, lines 15 – 20, recited voice in Public Switched Telephone Network as voice network).

Regarding Claim 3, O'Toole et al. disclose the limitation of line interface comprising: an analog to digital converter circuit (Fig. 6, element 44 A/D converter as analog front end circuit), coupled between said broadband analog front end circuit (Fig. 6, elements 59, 54, 56, column 7, lines 31 – 36, recited these analog circuits as analog front end circuit) and said programmable filter (Fig. 6; element 50 DSP as programmable filter), configured to convert said output signal to a digital signal (column 6, lines 47 – 50, recited a sequence of digital values from A/D as converting said output signal to a digital signal), wherein said programmable filter is a digital programmable filter (Fig. 6; element 50 DSP as programmable filter, column 7, lines 62 – 65).

Regarding Claim 4, O'Toole et al. disclose the limitation of plurality of separate transmission channels (recited "DSP performs a high-pass filter, the output of the high-pass digital filtering...; DSP also performs a low-pass filter, the output of low-pass filtering" as output signal into a plurality of separate, transmission channel) are directed to a plurality of different service providers (Fig. 6, element 30, high–speed ADSL data pathway; column 8, lines 8 – 11, 54 – 55, recited for transmission to the Internet as data network; Fig. 6, element 34 PCM highway, column 8, lines 18 – 21,

Art Unit: 2616

column 9, lines 15 – 20, recited voice in Public Switched Telephone Network as voice network, voice network and data network as different service providers).

Regarding Claim 5, O'Toole et al. disclose the limitation of plurality of separate transmission channels are directed to a plurality of different modulation schemes (column 6, lines 51 – 58, recited high frequency components are decoded and formatted and low-frequency components are decoded and re-coded for transmission over PCM as different modulation schemes; column 10, lines 14 – 16).

Regarding Claim 6, O'Toole et al. disclose the limitation of the line interface of said programmable filter is programmed with software (column 8, lines 34 – 35, recited implemented as routines that are stored in memory as programmed with software).

Regarding Claim 7, O'Toole et al. disclose the limitation of the line interface wherein said software is downloaded to said programmable filter (column 7, lines 54 – 61, recited allow for code updates as software is downloaded).

Regarding Claim 8, O'Toole et al. disclose the limitation of the line interface wherein said plurality of separate frequency bands are determined according to a protocol including at least one of POTS, ISDN, ADSL, VDSL, SDSL, IDSL, HDSL, and HDSL2 (column 4, lines 50 – 60, recited IDSL, HDSL as at least one of POTS, ISDN, ADSL, VDSL, SDSL, IDSL, HDSL, and HDSL2).

Regarding claim 9, O'Toole et al. disclose the limitation of the line interface wherein said plurality of separate frequency bands are determined according to a protocol including at least one of POTS, ISDN, ADSL, VDSL, SDSL, IDSL, HDSL, and HDSL2. O'Toole et al. do not disclose explicitly the line interface of claimed wherein

Art Unit: 2616

said ADSL is one of the full rate ADSL, G. Lite, CAP, and QAM. Banerjea et al. disclose explicitly the limitation of the line interface of claimed wherein said ADSL is one of the full rate ADSL, G. Lite, CAP, and QAM (recited "a full-rate DMT system" as said ADSL is one of the full rate ADSL; page 2, paragraphs [0017], [0024]). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify O'Toole et al. to include the line interface of claimed wherein said ADSL is one of the full rate ADSL, G. Lite, CAP, and QAM such as that taught by Banerjea et al. in order to provide a bandwidth of the variable bandwidth receivers filter is adjusted based on a level and/or a frequency of AM radio signals detected by the am radio signal level detector (as suggested by Banerjea et al., see page 2, column 2, paragraph [0026]).

Regarding Claim 10, O'Toole et al. disclose the limitation of the line interface of said ADSL and said POTS coexist on said twisted pair telephone line (Fig. 6, element 58 as integrated line card, element 20, Tel line, column 7, lines 17 – 29).

Regarding Claim 11, O'Toole et al. disclose the limitation of the line interface comprising: a POTS detector circuit (Fig. 6, elements 54, Ring generator, element 56 Off-hook detector) coupled to provide a POTS usage signal to said programmable filter indicating that a POTS bandwidth is in use (Fig. 6, element 56, off-hook detector, column 7, lines 25 – 28, the phone handset is lifted off-hook to initiate a call as POTS bandwidth is in use).

Regarding Claim 13, O'Toole et al. disclose the limitation of the line interface of claimed wherein said POTS detector circuit detects whether a telephone connected to said twisted pair telephone wire is on hook or off hook (Fig. 6, element 56, off-hook

Art Unit: 2616

detector, column 7, lines 25 – 28, the phone handset is lifted off-hook to initiate a call as telephone wire is off-hook).

Regarding claim 14, O'Toole et al. disclose the limitation of the line interface of claimed wherein said POTS detector circuit determines if a POTS signal is communicated in said ADSL bandwidth or if said POTS signal is communicated in said POTS bandwidth (Fig. 7, element 60 decimation filter, elements 60 together with elements 62, 66 performing the frequency-splitting function to split ADSL data from POTS).

Regarding claim **15**, O'Toole et al. disclose the limitation of a method of providing a plurality of services (recited Internet and PSTN as plurality of services) over a twisted pair telephone line (Fig. 6, element 20, phone line, column 7, lines 2 – 5), comprising the acts of: receiving a broadband analog signal from said twisted pair telephone line (column 7, lines 30 – 36); filtering said broadband analog signal using a programmable filter (Fig. 6, element 50, DSP as programmable filter) into a plurality of separate bands wherein said plurality of separate bands are determined by programming said filter to generate a plurality of variable bandwidth channels (recited "DSP performs a high-pass filter, the output of the high-pass digital filtering...; DSP also performs a low-pass filter, the output of low-pass filtering" as output signal into a plurality of separate, variable bandwidth transmission channel); and transmitting said plurality of separate bands to a plurality of different service providers (Fig. 6, element 30, high-speed ADSL data pathway; column 8, lines 8 – 11, 54 – 55, recited for transmission to the Internet as data network; Fig. 6, element 34 PCM highway, column 8, lines 18 – 21, column 9, lines 15 –

Art Unit: 2616

20. recited voice in Public Switched Telephone Network as voice network, voice network and data network as different service providers). O'Toole et al. do not disclose explicitly the limitation of configured to filter frequency bands of said output signal into a plurality of separate, variable bandwidth transmission channels. Banerjea et al. disclose explicitly the limitation of configured to filter frequency bands of said output signal into a plurality of separate, variable bandwidth transmission channels (recited "variable bandwidth digital filter comprising a plurality of switched analog components to provide a corresponding plurality of filter bandwidth; page 5, column 1, lines 20 - 23). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify O'Toole et al. to include configuring to filter frequency bands of said output signal into a plurality of separate, variable bandwidth transmission channels such as that taught by Banerjea et al. in order to provide a bandwidth of the variable bandwidth receivers filter is adjusted based on a level and/or a frequency of AM radio signals detected by the am radio signal level detector (as suggested by Banerjea et al., see page 2, column 2, paragraph [0026]).

Regarding claim 16, O'Toole et al. disclose the limitation of the method of claimed wherein said separate bands are transmitted to said plurality of different service providers through a data network and a voice network (Fig. 6, element 30, high–speed ADSL data pathway; column 8, lines 8 – 11, 54 – 55, recited for transmission to the Internet as data network; Fig. 6, element 34 PCM highway, column 8, lines 18 – 21, column 9, lines 15 – 20, recited voice in Public Switched Telephone Network as voice network, voice network and data network as different service providers).

Art Unit: 2616

Regarding claim 17, O'Toole et al. discloses the limitation of the method of claimed wherein said programmable filter is upgraded by programming said filter with software (recited "the updateable flash ROM and volatile memory allow for code updates, fixes and enhancements"; column 7, lines 54 – 61).

Regarding claims 18, 20, O'Toole et al. disclose the limitation of a line interface for coupling a twisted pair telephone line with a communications network (Fig. 6, element 58 " integrated line card", element 20 " telephone line", element 30 "high-speed ADSLdata pathway" to Internet as communication network), comprising: a broadband analog front end circuit coupling said twisted pair telephone line with said line interface (Fig. 6, elements 54, 56, 59, column 31 – 36, recited these analog circuits as analog front end circuit); and a programmable filter (Fig. 6, element 50, DSP as programmable filter) coupled to receive an output signal from said broadband analog front end circuit and configured to filter frequency bands of said output signal into a plurality of different transmission channels (recited "DSP performs a high-pass filter, the output of the highpass digital filtering...; DSP also performs a low-pass filter, the output of low-pass filtering" as output signal into a plurality different transmission channels) including: a first transmission channel having a first variable frequency bandwidth (DSP performs a high-pass filter, the output of the high-pass digital filtering); and a second transmission channel having a second variable frequency bandwidth (DSP also performs a low-pass filter, the output of low-pass filtering), wherein said programmable filter can be programmed to adjust a band edge of either said first transmission channel or said second transmission channel to increase or decrease said first and second variable

Art Unit: 2616

frequency bandwidths, respectively (Fig. 7, bandpass filter 100Hz – 4KHz, column 9, lines 1 – 4, 42 – 43, adjusted from 8KHz to 1MHZ sample rate of ADSL data; High-pass filter > 4KHz,; column 10, lines 14 – 16, recited the DSP simply re-programmed to adjust for the different ADSL or POTS encoding schemes used (that is different bandwidth)). O'Toole et al. do not disclose explicitly the limitation of configured to filter frequency bands of said output signal into a plurality of separate, variable bandwidth transmission channels. Baneriea et al. disclose explicitly the limitation of configured to filter frequency bands of said output signal into a plurality of separate, variable bandwidth transmission channels (recited "variable bandwidth digital filter comprising a plurality of switched analog components to provide a corresponding plurality of filter bandwidth; page 5, column 1, lines 20 - 23). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify O'Toole et al. to include configuring to filter frequency bands of said output signal into a plurality of separate, variable bandwidth transmission channels such as that taught by Banerjea et al. in order to provide a bandwidth of the variable bandwidth receivers filter is adjusted based on a level and/or a frequency of AM radio signals detected by the am radio signal level detector (as suggested by Banerjea et al., see page 2, column 2, paragraph [0026]).

Page 10

3. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over O'Toole et al. (US 5889856) and Banerjea et al. (Pub. No. 2002/0075949 A1) as applied to

Art Unit: 2616

claims 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 20 above, and further in view of Bremer et al. (US 7020266 B2).

Regarding claim 12, O'Toole et al. and Banerjea et al. do not disclose the line interface of claim 11, wherein an ADSL bandwidth is expended to include said POTS bandwidth when said POTS usage signal indicates that said POTS bandwidth is not in use, and said ADSL bandwidth is reduced to exclude said POTS bandwidth when said POTS usage signal indicates that said POTS bandwidth is in use. Bremer et al. disclose explicitly the limitation of wherein an ADSL bandwidth is expended to include said POTS bandwidth when said POTS usage signal indicates that said POTS bandwidth is not in use (recited "may utilize the frequency band otherwise allocated for POTS/voice transmission, at times when there is no present demand for transmitting voice information" as an ADSL bandwidth is expended to include said POTS bandwidth when said POTS usage signal indicates that said POTS bandwidth is not in use; Fig. 9, column 9, lines 11 - 14), and said ADSL bandwidth is reduced to exclude said POTS bandwidth when said POTS usage signal indicates that said POTS bandwidth is in use (Fig. 9, column 9, lines 14 – 20). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify O'Toole et al. and Bremer et al. to include wherein an ADSL bandwidth is expended to include said POTS bandwidth when said POTS usage signal indicates that said POTS bandwidth is not in use, and said ADSL bandwidth is reduced to exclude said POTS bandwidth when said POTS usage signal indicates that said POTS bandwidth is in use such as that taught by Bremer et al. in order to provide an apparatus and method for enabling a plurality of

Art Unit: 2616

analog and digital sets of services that can be utilized simultaneously on a single telephone line (as suggested by Bremer et al., see column 1, lines 14 – 17).

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 19, 21 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed on 9/05/2006 with respect to claims 1 – 21 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclose.
 - Seazholtz et al. US 6424636 B1 disclose Asymmetrical Digital Subscriber line
 interface units operating at variable rates and in variable modes (ADSL/AVRs)
 over a local loop offer one-way video-on-demand and other services and carry
 the necessary signalling between the subscribers and information providers.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew C. Lee whose telephone number is (571) 272-

Application/Control Number: 09/810,938 Page 13

Art Unit: 2616

3131. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30am - 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ricky Ngo can be reached on (571) 272-3139. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ACL

Nov 14, 2006

CHAU NGUYEN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Christ, Nferm